



MTAS Literature Reviews: magazine/research article, book, podcast/webinar/interview/peer conversation

A separate form must be completed for each review.
Please ensure that this form is saved for submission to your Con-Ed log.

Primary or business credit classification depends upon the subject matter being reviewed. **Material must be within scope of practice in order to qualify for credits**
1 credit per podcast/webinar/magazine or research article or interview/conversation; 3 credits per book.

Member Name: _____

Membership Number: _____

Tel: _____

E-mail: _____

Provide the following documentation: *Note that any missing documentation will affect the assessment.*

[] Attach a copy of the article (for print media only).

Publication title: _____

Article title: _____

Author/producer: _____

Volume/issue: _____ ISBN/copyright: _____

Citation (for research article): _____

Attach written answers to the following:

1. Summary of material. Maximum length: 1 page for article review or podcast/webinar/interview/conversation; 2 pages for book.
2. Explain how this material is within the MT scope of practice.
3. Explain how this information will be beneficial to your practice. What did you learn?
4. Has this study identified any areas that you could improve upon?
5. Other comments.

I, _____ confirm that have completed the above.

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Please note that signing or issuing, in your professional capacity, a document that you know contains false or misleading statements is a matter of professional misconduct and will be referred to the Disciplinary Committee if deemed necessary.

Please upload copies of all documentation and this form to your profile on the MTAS website.

Massage Therapist Association of Saskatchewan Inc., #22 - 1738 Quebec Avenue, Saskatoon, Sask. S7K 1V9

Tel: 306-384-7077

Fax: 306-384-7175

E-mail: mtas@saskmassagetherapy.com

1. Summary of material (use additional pages as needed):

2. How is this within the MT scope of practice?

3. How is this beneficial to your practice? What did you learn?

4. Has this study identified any areas that you can improve upon?

5. Other comments?